UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/547,668	07/03/2006	Matteo Bonan	2520-1062	7117
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 03/20/200 OMPSON	EXAMINER		
209 Madison Street			NATNITHITHADHA, NAVIN	
	Suite 500 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			PAPER NUMBER
			3735	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/547,668	BONAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	NAVIN NATNITHITHADHA	3735			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Jul</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-43 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 02 September 2005 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the orecast.	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09022005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Art Unit: 3735

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Preliminary Amendment

1. The status of the claims is as follows:

Claims 3, 4, 6, 7, 10-12, 14-21, 23, ,25, 27, 29, 31-33, 35, 37, 39, 41, and 42 are currently amended;

Claim 43 has been added; and

Claims 1-43 are pending.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) based upon an application filed in Italy on 17 March 2003. A claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) cannot be based on said application, since the United States application was filed more than twelve months thereafter.

Specification

- 3. The abstract of the disclosure does not commence on a separate sheet in accordance with 37 CFR 1.52(b)(4). A new abstract of the disclosure is required and must be presented on a separate sheet, apart from any other text.
- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Art Unit: 3735

The Specification does not contain section headings. Appropriate correction is required.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Claim Objections

5. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 11, 12, 16-20, 32, 33, 35-38, and 43 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Art Unit: 3735

It is not clear as to whether the numbers within parentheses are part of the claimed invention. Examiner suggests deleting the parentheses containing numbers. Appropriate correction is required.

6. Claims 39-42 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claims 39-42 are directed the statutory subject matter of a "machine" in the preamble of the claims and are dependent, directly or indirectly, on independent claim 1, and thus, these claims do not further limit the independent claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

7. Claims 39-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

As to claims 39-42, the claimed subject matter(s) are directed to neither a "process" nor a "machine", but rather overlaps two different statutory classes of invention. See MPEP 2173.05(p) II.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

8. Claims 1-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitations "the pressure absolute minimum value Pmin" in line 5, "the pressure values" in lines 5-6, "the pressure absolute maximum value Pmax" in line 9, and "the pressure signal first derivative maximum value Y1max_postdia" in line 14. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "the pressure signal second derivative maximum value Y2max_diatosys" in 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 3-38 are rejected because of their dependency, indirectly or directly, to claim 1.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 1-38 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

<u>Claims 1-38</u>: The prior art of record does not teach the method for discriminating the cardiac beat having a starting point Pstart, characterised in that it operates according to

a finite state machine, including: the combination of the five states as claimed, and specifically:

wherein the method (in the third state) verifies whether, in a sixth time interval not exceeding the interval starting from the inflection point Pinflection and of duration equal to a fourth time threshold DTMAX_SYS2Y1DIC, the pressure signal presents a hump with downward concavity, so that:

- if the outcome of the verification is positive, the method searches, in a seventh time interval not exceeding the interval starting from the inflection point Pinflection and of duration equal to the fourth time threshold DTMAX_SYS2Y1 DIC, for the first pressure curve relative minimum, and it assumes the latter as dicrotic point Pdic, whereas
- if the outcome of the verification is negative, the method searches in said seventh time interval the instant wherein the pressure signal second derivative assumes the maximum value Y2max_postinflection, and it assumes the related pressure signal point as dicrotic point Pdic; and

wherein the method (in the fourth state) verifies that the maximum value

Ylmax_postdia determined in the first state is not less than the value Ylmax_postdic, so
that:

- if the outcome of the verification is negative, the method returns to the first state assuming as new starting point Pstart a point following the diastolic point Pdia and not following the dicrotic point Pdic, whereas

Art Unit: 3735

- if the outcome of the verification is positive, the method passes to a final state.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The other patents cited in the PTO-892 teach subject matter related to the Applicant's claims. The Examiner suggests reviewing these patents before responding to the present Office Action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NAVIN NATNITHITHADHA whose telephone number is (571)272-4732. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor, II can be reached on (571) 272-4730. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3735

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Navin Natnithithadha/ Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3735 03/17/2008